# <span id="page-0-0"></span>High-energy bounds on Møller operators

Henning Bostelmann

University of York

LQP meeting, 18 June 2020

Joint work with D. Cadamuro (Leipzig) and G. Lechner (Cardiff), arXiv:1912.11092

• For two selfadjoint operators  $H_0$  and  $H_1 = H_0 + V$ , consider the Møller operators

$$
\Omega_{\pm}:=\operatornamewithlimits{ s-lim}_{t\rightarrow\pm\infty}e^{itH_1}e^{-itH_0}.
$$

- Heuristic expectation: At high energies (i.e., on subspaces for large spectral values of *H*<sub>0</sub>), one has  $\Omega_+ \approx 1$ .
- More precisely, we ask whether for some continuous function *f*,

$$
\|(\Omega_{\pm}-1)f(H_0)\|<\infty.
$$

- When does this happen? How fast can *f* grow?
- How to find "effective" criteria for this bound to hold?
- Motivating example from quantum physics: "quantum backflow"

A motivating example from quantum mechanics:

- Consider a free particle in one dimension,  $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$
- Probability flux at point *x*, averaged with test function  $q > 0$ :

• 
$$
J(g) = \int g(x)J(x) = \frac{1}{2}(Pg(X) + g(X)P)
$$

- $\langle \psi, J(x)\psi \rangle = \frac{1}{2m} \left( \overline{\psi(x)} \psi'(x) \overline{\psi'(x)} \psi(x) \right).$
- Spectral values of the probability flux:
	- $J(g)$  has spectrum in all  $\mathbb R$ .
	- Let *E* be the projector onto positive momentum, then  $EJ(g)E$  has spectrum in some interval  $[-\epsilon, \infty)$
	- Different from classical mechanics, it is not positive ("quantum backflow effect")
	- Instead, it is bounded below ("quantum inequality"; Eveson/Fewster/Verch '03).
	- $\bullet$ This is reminiscent of "quantum energy inequalities" in QFT.

*EJ*(*g*)*E* is bounded below. What happens when scattering is present?

Is *E*Ω ∗ <sup>±</sup>*J*(*g*)Ω±*E* bounded below?

 $\|\Omega_{\pm}^*J(g)\Omega_{\pm}-J(g)\|\leq 2\|(\Omega_{\pm}-1)^*(1+H)^{1/2}\|\ \|(1+H)^{-1/2}J(g)\|.$ 

- Hence the "quantum inequality" is stable under scattering if  $\|(\Omega_{\pm} - 1)^*(1 + H)^{1/2}\| < \infty.$
- We showed this by a direct argument (B./C./L. 2017) for a wide class of potentials *V*.
- But is there a general principle underlying?

# **GIZMODO**

# **Pushing Particles Forwards Might Make Them Go Backwards Because Quantum**

# **Physics Is Bonkers**



Rvan F. Mandelbaum 7/18/17 6:08pm

Filed to: CRAZY PHYSICS ~

"We wanted to show this is a universal quantum mechanical effect," study author Daniela Cadamuro from the Technical University of Munich in Germany told Gizmodo. "In the presence or absence of a force, the particle will always have a probability to move backward, even if there is a positive momentum."

One of quantum mechanics' core tenets is that the smallest particles act like dots and flowing waves at the same time. That's demonstrated by a quintessential experiment: If you shoot

Consider two selfadjoint operators  $H_0$ ,  $H_1$  on a Hilbert space  $H_1$ , let  $P_j^{\text{ac}}$  project onto their space of absolutely continuous spectrum, and define the Møller operators

$$
\Omega_{\pm}(H_1,H_0):=\mathop{\hbox{\rm s-lim}}_{t\to\pm\infty}e^{itH_1}e^{-itH_0}P_0^{\hbox{\rm ac}}.
$$

(You may think  $H_1 = H_0 + V$ , but is that the right viewpoint?)

Consider two selfadjoint operators  $H_0$ ,  $H_1$  on a Hilbert space  $H_1$ , let  $P_j^{\text{ac}}$  project onto their space of absolutely continuous spectrum, and define the Møller operators

$$
\Omega_{\pm}(H_1,H_0):=\mathop{\hbox{\rm s-lim}}_{t\to\pm\infty}e^{itH_1}e^{-itH_0}P_0^{\hbox{\rm ac}}.
$$

(You may think  $H_1 = H_0 + V$ , but is that the right viewpoint?)

#### **Definition**

Let  $H_0$  and  $H_1$  be self-adjoint operators such that  $\Omega_+(H_1, H_0)$  exist; let  $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ . Then  $(H_1, H_0)$  is called *f-*bounded if  $(\Omega_\pm(H_1, H_0) - P_1^{\rm ac} P_0^{\rm ac}) f(H_0)$  is bounded.

Is this fulfilled in examples? Which *f* can be chosen?

How strong is the condition of *f*-boundedness? Example A: all *f*-bounds

- Consider  $H_0 = -i\partial_x$  on  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}),$ 
	- $H_1 = -i\partial_x + P_\xi$  where  $P_\xi$  projects onto some  $\xi$  with  $\tilde{\xi}$  compactly supported.
- **In that case,**  $H_1 = H_0$  **on subspaces of large momenta, and**  $\Omega_+ = 1$  **there.**
- $\bullet$  Hence  $(Ω<sub>+</sub> − 1) f(H<sub>0</sub>)$  is bounded no matter what  $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$  we choose!

Example B: no *f*-bounds

Take  $H_0 = -i\partial_x$  on  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , and  $H_1 = -i\partial_x + v(x)$ .

$$
(\Omega_{\pm}\psi)(x)=w_{\pm}(x)\psi(x),\quad w_{\pm}(x):=\exp i\int_x^{\pm\infty}v(y)\,dy.
$$

- $\mathsf{Let}\ (\mathsf{U}(p)\psi)(x)=e^{ipx}\psi(x).$  Then  $\mathsf{U}(p)\Omega_{\pm}\mathsf{U}(p)^{*}=\Omega_{\pm}$  and  $U(p)f(H_0)U(p)^{-1} = f(H_0 - p).$
- $\bullet$  Hence if (*H*<sub>1</sub>, *H*<sub>0</sub>) was *f*-bounded, then  $(Ω<sub>+</sub> − 1)$ *f*( $H<sub>0</sub> − p$ ) is uniformly bounded in *p*.

## Towards *f*-boundedness

How can we estimate  $(\Omega_{\pm} - 1) f(H_0)$  in the general case?

- We need a more explicit description of the Møller operator.
- For example, in terms of the resolvents  $R_j(z) = (H_j z\mathbf{1})^{-1}$ :

$$
\Omega_{\pm}(H_1, H_0) = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\epsilon}{\pi} \int R_1(\lambda \mp i\epsilon) R_0(\lambda \pm i\epsilon) \ d\lambda.
$$

Hence, at least formally,

$$
\Omega_{\pm}(H_1, H_0) - \mathbf{1} = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\epsilon}{\pi} \int \Big( R_1(\lambda \mp i\epsilon) - R_0(\lambda \mp i\epsilon) \Big) R_0(\lambda \pm i\epsilon) \ d\lambda.
$$

- To really obtain estimates here, we need more information about the limit values of the resolvents at the real axis.
- This is available in the smooth method of scattering theory.

## Smooth method

Smooth method of scattering theory:

- Mostly applicable to differential operators and their perturbations.
- $\bullet$  Here the boundary values  $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} R(\lambda \pm i\epsilon)$  are taken seriously ("limiting absorption principle").
- Of course the limit does not exist in operator norm. But consider the following well-known example:

• 
$$
H_0 = -\partial_x^2
$$
 on  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R})$ 

• Resolvent  $R_0(z)$  has integral kernel

$$
K(x, y; z) = \frac{i}{2\sqrt{z}} \exp (i\sqrt{z}|x - y|).
$$

- If  $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $(1 + x^2)^{-\alpha/2} K(x, y; z) (1 + y^2)^{-\alpha/2}$  converges to a "good" (Hilbert-Schmidt) kernel as  $Im z \rightarrow 0+$ .
- That suggests the following framework.

# Setting of the smooth method

- Consider a Gelfand triple  $X \subset \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{X}^*$ .
	- $\mathcal{X}$  a Banach space,  $\mathcal{X}^*$  its conjugate dual
	- Scalar product  $\langle \cdot , \cdot \rangle$  on H yields  $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{X}^*$  via  $\varphi \mapsto \langle \cdot , \varphi \rangle$ .
	- **•** Embeddings assumed continuous and dense.
- $\bullet$  H<sub>0</sub> is called  $\mathcal{X}$ -smooth if

$$
R_0(\lambda \pm i0) := \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} R_0(\lambda \pm i\epsilon)
$$

exist in  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^{*})$  for  $\lambda \in U$ , where  $U$  is an open set of full measure, and the extended  $R_0$  is locally Hölder continuous.

- If  $R_0(z) \in FA(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^*)$  for  $\text{Im } z \neq 0$ , and  $V \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{X}^*, \mathcal{X})$ , then  $H_1 := H_0 + V$  is also X-smooth (but U might change).
- If  $H_0$ ,  $H_1$  are both  $\mathcal{X}$ -smooth, and  $V := H_1 H_0 \in \Gamma_2(\mathcal{X}^*, \mathcal{X})$ then the Møller operators  $\Omega_{+}(H_1, H_0)$  exist.

So what about *f*-boundedness and the smooth method?

So what about *f*-boundedness and the smooth method?

### **Definition**

We say that an X-smooth operator *H* is of high-energy order  $\beta$  if there exist  $\hat{\lambda}, b > 0$  such that

$$
||R(\lambda \pm i0)||_{\mathcal{X},\mathcal{X}^*} \leq b|\lambda|^{-\beta} \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in U, \ |\lambda| \geq \hat{\lambda}.
$$

So what about *f*-boundedness and the smooth method?

#### **Definition**

We say that an X-smooth operator *H* is of high-energy order  $\beta$  if there exist  $\hat{\lambda}$ ,  $b > 0$  such that

$$
||R(\lambda \pm i0)||_{\mathcal{X},\mathcal{X}^*} \leq b|\lambda|^{-\beta} \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in U, \ |\lambda| \geq \hat{\lambda}.
$$

#### **Proposition**

Let  $H_0, H_1$  *be*  $X$ -smooth, and let  $H_1 - H_0 \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{X}^*, \mathcal{X})$ . *Then H*<sup>0</sup> *is of high-energy order*  $\beta$  *if and only if H*<sup>1</sup> *is.* 

"High-energy order  $\beta$ " implies " $f_\beta$ -boundedness".

• Here 
$$
f_\beta(\lambda) = (1 + \lambda^2)^{\beta/2}, \beta \in (0, 1)
$$
.

#### Theorem

Let  $H_0, H_1$  *be selfadjoint and*  $H_1 - H_0 \in \Gamma_2(\mathcal{X}^*, \mathcal{X})$ . *If*  $H_0$ ,  $H_1$  *are*  $X$ -smooth and of high-energy order  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ , *then*  $H_0$  *and*  $H_1$  *are mutually f<sub>β</sub>-bounded.* 

- **•** This is "symmetric" in  $H_1$ ,  $H_0$ .
- It is "effective" since smoothness and high-energy order need to be shown for one of  $H_0$ ,  $H_1$  only (see earlier).
- Let us consider the following example:
	- $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$  $\mathcal{X} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, (1+|x|^2)^\alpha dx)$ , where  $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$ .
	- $H_0 = (-\Delta)^{\ell/2}$  with some  $\ell \in (1, \infty)$
	- $H_1 = H_0 + V$  where *V* is a multiplication operator,  $||V||_{\mathcal{X}^*,\mathcal{X}} < \infty$ .
- One shows that  $H_0$  is  $\mathcal X$ -smooth and of high-energy order  $\beta$  for  $0 < \beta \leq 1 \frac{1}{\ell}$ .
- Also,  $R_0(z)$  is compact in  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{X}^*)$  for  $\mathsf{Im}\, z\neq 0.$
- Therefore,  $H_0$  and  $H_1$  are mutually  $f_\beta$ -bounded for all  $0 < \beta \leq 1 \frac{1}{\ell}$ .
- The bound on  $\beta$  is strict in general.

(Can construct counterexample for  $n = 1$ ,  $\ell = 2$ ,  $\beta > \frac{1}{2}$ .)

- <span id="page-16-0"></span>We have investigated high-energy bounds on Møller operators in an abstract framework.
- These now allow to show stability of "quantum inequalities" under scattering.
- Concrete examples include  $(-\Delta)^{\ell/2} + v(x)$ (in particular, Schrödinger in any dimension).
- We can also handle inner degrees of freedom:
	- $\bullet$  Take  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{tr} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{inner}$ ,  $H_0 = H_{tr} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes H_{inner}$ ,  $H_1 = H_0 + v(x)$  where *v* is  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\text{inner}})$ -valued.
	- Under some conditions, the high-energy order of *H*<sub>tr</sub> transfers to *H*<sub>0</sub>.
	- Easy if *H*<sub>inner</sub> is finite-dimensional.
	- Intricate (but possible) if *H*<sub>inner</sub> has discrete spectrum.
- Apart from the smooth method, we also get results in the trace-class method (not discussed here).
- On the theoretical side, one can make *f*-boundedness into an equivalence relation.
- **e** Extensions of these results?